

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

•	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/701278				•
	**		ere i jaron ere ere ere ere ere ere ere ere ere er		
	4,1	and the second section of the sectio	The second of th	EXAMINER	
		i di sua sul madi sul sa sa u u usa sa sa u asa da sa	water with a state of the	eli di territoria di periodi di d	
		The state of the s	en ang sidaga di pinangan in seria. Pinangan	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
5 5 ,				23	76 4 5 71
14. 17. 34. 14. 1 <sub>. 3</sub> .		in the second se	DA VIEW SUMMARY	TE MAILED:	iga v s sest
All pa	urticipante (applicant, applican	t's representative, PTO person	nel):		
(1)	Tichard Trocas	ende om en	(3) ( 5. base 7 ) X	Wer	
(2)	Jim Diehl	and the street of the street	a (4) - 50 - 1 1 1	And the following state of the	. :
Date	of Interview////	<b>O O</b> rganis se se esperator de la companya della companya de la companya della co	FERROLL (1977), The Art Section Control of Ferroll District Control of the Control of the Art Section (1988)	<ul> <li>A man of the state of the state</li></ul>	istoria di Georgia
o de polento. La objeto		l (copy is given to applican			
:	·	<u> </u>		and the second of the second o	74
Exhib	it shown or demonstration co	nducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If ye	s, brief description:		
() <del></del>	· ·			3	
· Agre	ment was reached. X v	was not reached.	• •	and the state of t	. "
Claim	n(s) discussed:	·		in the second se	+ 1 +4 1 +4 +4
Identi	ification of prior art discussed:		and the second of the second o	ore the first of the contract	
Identi					
, · <del></del>					
Desc	ription of the general nature o	f what was agreed to if an agre	ement was reached, or any other con	ments: Issues related	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
to D	Inventoshipand	school win the	privisional application Declaration from Sun		
- <del>- 149</del>	The was 14		he instant claimed	in any chenwood	
			ne instant cround	INVENTION WOULD DO	
<u>thè</u>	They were Not		maria de la como Colorana	one do ser la sella de la companya del companya della companya de la companya de la companya de la companya della companya de la companya de la companya de la companya della companya del	1
per	russive to abviol	the rejection	under 102(f).	regularism i savar etga etga.	
Per (A ful must attack	be attached. Also, where no	the rep Time and a copy of the amendments.	if available, which the examiner agree	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be	
must attack	be attached. Also, where no hed.)	and a copy of the amendments, copy of the amendments which	if available, which the examiner agree	ed would render the claims allowable.	
nust attack  1. Unles IS NO action	be attached. Also, where no ned.)  It is not necessary for applicate the paragraph above has bot WAIVED AND MUST INCL	and a copy of the amendments, copy of the amendments which ant to provide a separate record een checked to indicate to the CUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PUICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONEMONE	if available, which the examiner agree would render the claims allowable is d of the substance of the interview.	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be PONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office	
nust attack  1. Unles IS NO action SUBS	be attached. Also, where no hed.)  It is not necessary for applicates the paragraph above has bot WAIVED AND MUST INCL in has are ready been filed, APSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW	and a copy of the amendments, copy of the amendments which ant to provide a separate record een checked to indicate to the CUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONV.	if available, which the examiner agree would render the claims allowable is do the substance of the interview.  Contrary: A FORMAL WRITTEN RESIDENTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section NTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be PONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	
nust attack  1. Unles IS NO action SUBS	be attached. Also, where no ned.)  It is not necessary for applicates the paragraph above has bot WAIVED AND MUST INCLED has are ready been filed, APSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW  Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements	and a copy of the amendments, copy of the amendments which ant to provide a separate record een checked to indicate to the CUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONV.  The summary above (including a that may be present in the last ponse requirements of the last ponse requirements of the last second copy of the second copy of the last ponse requirements of the last copy of the amendments of the last copy of the amendments which copy of the last copy	if available, which the examiner agree would render the claims allowable is d of the substance of the interview.  Contrary: A FORMAL WRITTEN RESHE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section NTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE any attachments) reflects a complete of office action, and since the claims are	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be  PONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Int rview must Be Mad 1 Rec rd

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(h) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant-
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
  of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
  contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.